

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/674,228 | 09/29/2003 | Samir M. Hanash | 31755-A-PCT-USA-I | 1891 | | |
| 38485 75 | 590 05/10/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| ARENT FOX PLLC 1675 BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10019 | | | YU, MI | YU, MISOOK | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| , | | • | 1642 | 1642 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/10/2006 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/674,228 | HANASH ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| MISOOK YU, Ph.D. | 1642 | | |

| | | | 1 1012 | |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover | sheet with the d | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CON | DITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an tice of Appeal (with | amendment, af appeal fee) in | fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) | dvisory Action, or (2) ater than SIX MONTH (b). ONLY CHECK BO | the date set forth | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition tension and the corre shortened statutory po than three months a | sponding amount eriod for reply orig | of the fee. The appropri | ate extension fee ce action: or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 C | FR 41.37(e)), to | o avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of e appeal. Since |
| AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further composed in the composition of the compo | nsideration and/or a w); ter form for appeal | search (see NO by materially re | TE below); | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ber of finally rej | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.14. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | 21. See attached None. | | | • |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 5-21. | ☑ will not be ente vided below or app | red, or b) 🗍 wi ended. | II be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons | why the affidav | vit or other evidence is | necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejection vand was not earlie | ons under appear or presented. S | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | of the status of th | e claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place t | he application in | n condition for allowar | ice because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (| PTO/SB/08 or PTC |)-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | |
| | | • | MISOOK YU, Ph.E Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1642 |). |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitation "consisting essentially of" requires further consideration as to the scope of the claims and requires further search since the new limitation has not been examined in the prosecution history.

MISOOK YU BRIMARY EXAMINER